arXiv:1407.6664v1 [math.CO] 24 Jul 2014

Saturated Graphs of Prescribed Minimum Degree

A. Nicholas Day *

July 25, 2014

Abstract

A graph G is H-saturated if it contains no copy of H as a subgraph but the addition of any new edge to G creates a copy of H. In this paper we are interested in the function $\mathrm{sat}_t(n,p)$, defined to be the minimum number of edges that a K_p-saturated graph on n vertices can have if it has minimum degree at least t. We prove that $\mathrm{sat}_t(n,p) = tn - O(1)$, where the limit is taken as n tends to infinity. This confirms a conjecture of Bollobás when $p = 3$. We also present constructions for graphs that give new upper bounds for $\mathrm{sat}_t(n,p)$ and discuss an analogous problem for saturated hypergraphs.

1 Introduction

We say a graph G is H-saturated if it contains no copy of H as a subgraph but the addition of any new edge to G creates a copy of H. In this paper we will be particularly interested in the case where H is the complete graph on p vertices, denoted K_p. For further results on saturated graphs see surveys by either Faudree, Faudree and Schmitt [6] or Pikhurko [13]. Erdős, Hajnal and Moon [4] showed that if G is a K_p-saturated graph on n vertices then $e(G) \geqslant n(p-2) - \binom{p-1}{2}$ and that the unique graph achieving equality is formed by taking a clique on $p-2$ vertices and fully connecting it to an independent set of size $n-(p-2)$. The above extremal graphs have minimum degree $p-2$ and no K_p-saturated graph on at least p vertices can have smaller minimum degree. Thus it is natural to ask: how few edges can a K_p-saturated graph have if it has minimum degree at least t for $t \geqslant p-2$? Duffus and Hanson [3] considered the function

$$\mathrm{sat}'_t(n,p) = \min\{e(G) : |V(G)| = n, G \text{ is } K_p\text{-saturated}, \delta(G) = t\}$$

where $\delta(G)$ is the minimum degree of G. The graph obtained by fully connecting a single vertex to all other vertices is K_3-saturated and shows

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, London E1 4NS, UK

$\mathrm{sat}'_1(n,3) \leqslant n-1$. However, any K_3-saturated graph must be connected and so in fact $\mathrm{sat}'_1(n,3) = n-1$. Duffus and Hanson showed that for $n \geqslant 5$, $\mathrm{sat}'_2(n,3) = 2n-5$ and that the unique graphs achieving this are obtained by taking a 5-cycle and repeatedly duplicating vertices of degree 2, that is, picking a vertex of degree 2 and adding a new vertex to the graph with the same neighbourhood as your chosen vertex. They also showed that for $n \geqslant 10$, $\mathrm{sat}'_3(n,3) = 3n-15$ and that any graph achieving this contains the Petersen graph as a subgraph.

In this paper we will consider the function

$$\mathrm{sat}_t(n,p) = \min\{e(G) : |V(G)| = n, G \text{ is } K_p\text{-saturated}, \delta(G) \geqslant t\},$$

and we define the set $\mathrm{Sat}_t(n,p)$ to be

$$\{G : |V(G)| = n, G \text{ is } K_p\text{-saturated}, \delta(G) \geqslant t, e(G) = \mathrm{sat}_t(n,p)\}.$$

The distinction between $\mathrm{sat}_t(n,p)$ and $\mathrm{sat}'_t(n,p)$ is important as it is not clear that we always have equality between the two. However we will see from Theorem 1 below that, for fixed t and p, equality does hold for n sufficiently large.

The complete bipartite graph $K_{t,n-t}$ shows that for $n \geqslant 2t$, we have $\mathrm{sat}_t(n,3) \leqslant tn - t^2$. This upper bound and Duffus and Hanson's results led Bollobás [8] to conjecture that for fixed t we have $\mathrm{sat}_t(n,3) = tn - O(1)$.

For more general values of p, Duffus and Hanson [3] also showed that $\mathrm{sat}_t(n,p) \geqslant \frac{t+p-2}{2}n - O(1)$. Writing $\alpha(G)$ for the size of the largest independent set in G, Alon, Erdős, Holzman and Krivelevich showed in [1] that any K_p-saturated graph on n vertices with fewer than $O(n)$ edges has $\alpha(G) \geqslant n - O(\frac{n}{\log\log n})$. This shows that $\mathrm{sat}_t(n,p) \geqslant tn - O(\frac{n}{\log\log n})$ as $e(G) \geqslant \alpha(G)\delta(G)$. Pikhurko [13] improved this result to show that $\mathrm{sat}_t(n,p) \geqslant tn - O(\frac{n\log\log n}{\log n})$.

Our main result in this paper improves these results by confirming and generalising Bollobás's conjecture:

Theorem 1. *There exists a constant $c = c(t)$ such that if G is a K_p-saturated graph of order n and minimum degree at least t, then $e(G) \geqslant tn - c$.*

The proof of the above theorem is presented in Section 2. To see that, up to the value of the constant, this result is best possible consider the graph obtained from fully connecting a clique of size $p-3$ to the complete bipartite graph $K_{t-(p-3),n-t}$. This graph is K_p-saturated and has minimum degree t and so shows that

$$\mathrm{sat}_t(n,p) \leqslant tn - t^2 + t(p-3) - \binom{p-2}{2}$$

for $n \geqslant 2t-(p-3)$ and $t \geqslant p-2$.

From Theorem 1 we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 1. *There exists $n_0(t,p)$ and $c(t,p)$ such that if $n \geqslant n_0(t,p)$ then*

$$sat_t(n,p) = sat'_t(n,p) = tn - c(t,p).$$

The proof of this corollary can also be found in Section 2. In Section 3 we discuss constructing K_p-saturated graphs and prove an upper bound on $\mathrm{sat}_t(n,3)$:

Theorem 2. *For all $t \geqslant 4$ and all $n \geqslant t + \lfloor t/2 \rfloor \binom{t}{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor}$ there exists a K_3-saturated graph on n vertices with minimum degree t and*

$$\begin{cases} tn - t^2(1 + \binom{t}{t/2}/8) & \text{for } t \text{ even} \\ tn - t^2 - \frac{(t-1)(t^2-1)}{8t}\binom{t}{(t-1)/2} & \text{for } t \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

edges.

We will see that we can use this theorem to construct K_p-saturated graphs that show

$$c(t,p) \geqslant C 2^{t'} t'^{3/2} + \binom{t+1}{2} - \binom{t'+1}{2}$$

for some constant C, where $t' = t - (p-3)$. We also discuss K_p-semi-saturated graphs, (graphs that have the property that any new edge added to them creates a new copy of K_p), and show that their behaviour is very different from K_p-saturated graphs. Finally, in Section 4 we discuss a conjecture for a generalisation of Theorem 1 to hypergraphs and look at constructing saturated hypergraphs.

We remark that one may also ask how few edges a K_p-saturated graph can have if restrictions are placed on its maximum degree rather than its minimum degree. Results on this problem for $p = 3$ can be found in Füredi and Seress's paper [7] and also in Erdős and Holzman's paper [5]. Results for the case $p = 4$ can also be found in Alon, Erdős, Holzman and Krivelevich's paper [1]. There are currently no known results for $p \geqslant 5$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Before proceeding, we present a number of required preliminaries. Let $[m]$ denote the set of integers $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a family of subsets of $[m]$ let $\mathcal{A}_k = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : |A| = k\}$. We say $\mathcal{A}$ is an *antichain* if it has the property that for all distinct pairs $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $A \not\subseteq B$. The LYM-inequality, due to Lubell [11], Yamamoto [16] and Meshalkin [12], tells us that if $\mathcal{A}$ is an antichain then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{|\mathcal{A}_k|}{\binom{m}{k}} \leqslant 1.$$

We will use the following consequence of the LYM-inequality:

Proposition 1. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is an antichain of subsets of $[m]$ such that $|A| \leqslant s$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ then $|\mathcal{A}| \leqslant m^s$.*

Proof. The LYM-inequality tells us that if $m \leqslant 2s$ then $|\mathcal{A}| \leqslant \binom{m}{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}$, while if $m \geqslant 2s$ then $|\mathcal{A}| \leqslant \binom{m}{s}$. In both cases, $|\mathcal{A}| \leqslant m^s$. □

We will also use the following notation: For a graph G and a vertex $v \in V(G)$, let $N(v)$ be the set of vertices in G that are adjacent to v. For $X \subseteq V(G)$ let $N_X(v) = N(v) \cap X$, let $d_X(v) = |N_X(v)|$ and let $e(X)$ be the number of edges in the graph $G[X]$. For another set $Y \subseteq V(G)$ that is disjoint from X, let $e(X,Y)$ be the number of edges with one vertex in X and one vertex in Y.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let G be a K_p-saturated graph on vertex set V with $|V| = n$ and $\delta(G) \geqslant t$. Let R be a subset of V, set $R_0 = R$ and for $i \geqslant 1$ let

$$R_i \quad = \quad R_{i-1} \cup \{v \in V : d_{R_{i-1}}(v) \geqslant t\}.$$

As G is finite, there exists m such that $R_m = R_{m+1}$. Let $\overline{R} = R_m$. Any vertex $x \in R_i \setminus R_{i-1}$ sends at least t edges to R_{i-1} and so $e(\overline{R}) \geqslant t(|\overline{R}| - |R|)$. Let $Y = V \setminus \overline{R}$, and for a vertex $v \in V$ define

$$w(v) \quad = \quad d_{\overline{R}}(v) + \frac{1}{2} d_Y(v).$$

We call $w(v)$ the weight of v. We split Y into *good* vertices and *bad* vertices - a vertex $v \in Y$ is *good* if it has weight at least t, otherwise it is *bad*. Our aim will be to show there is some constant $c_1 = c_1(t)$ such that we can pick some R with $|R| \leqslant c_1$ that leads to Y having no bad vertices. If so, then

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &= e(\overline{R}) + e(\overline{R}, Y) + e(Y) \\ &\geqslant t(|\overline{R}| - |R|) + \sum_{y \in Y} w(y) \\ &\geqslant t(|\overline{R}| - c_1) + t|Y| \\ &= t(n - c_1), \end{aligned}$$

as required.

To achieve this we would like to show that if any set $R \subseteq V$ does lead to Y having bad vertices then we can move a small number of vertices into R so that the remaining bad vertices in Y have strictly larger weight. If so, we can start with some small initial set of vertices R and keep moving small numbers of vertices into it until Y has no bad vertices.

This idea of moving vertices into R fits naturally with our set up so far. Indeed, suppose that R' is a set of vertices with $R \subseteq R'$. If we define $\overline{R'}$, Y' and w' in the same way we defined $\overline{R}$, Y and w for our original set R then $\overline{R} \subseteq \overline{R'}$ and $Y \supseteq Y'$. As a result, each $x \in N(v)$ contributes at least as much to $w'(v)$ as it contributes to $w(v)$ and so $w'(v) \geqslant w(v)$ for all $v \in V$. Therefore the set of bad vertices in Y' is a subset of the set of bad vertices in Y.

It turns out that dealing with $w(v)$ directly is difficult and so we introduce a control function

$$l(v) \quad = \quad d_R(v) + \frac{1}{2}d_{\overline{R}\setminus R}(v) + \frac{1}{2t}\sum_{y \in N_Y(v)} d_R(y).$$

for all $v \in V$. Note that $d_R(y) \leqslant t-1$ for all $y \in Y$ and so $l(v) \leqslant w(v)$ for all $v \in V$. As before, for a new set of vertices R' we define $l'(v)$ in the same way we defined $l(v)$ for R. Moreover, if $R \subseteq R'$ we have that each $x \in N(v)$ contributes at least as much to $l'(v)$ as it contributes to $l(v)$ and so $l'(v) \geqslant l(v)$.

We will use our control function to show that if some set $R \subseteq V$ does lead to Y having some bad vertices then we can replace R by some set R' such that

- $R \subseteq R'$,
- $|R'| \leqslant |R| + t|R|^{t-1}$,
- $l'(v) \geqslant l(v) + \frac{1}{2t}$ for all bad $v \in Y'$.

This will be to sufficient to prove our theorem as we can start by taking $R = \{v\}$ for any vertex $v \in V$ and then repeatedly replace R with R' until the resulting Y has no bad vertices. This will require at most $2t^2$ replacements of R with R' because after $2t^2$ replacements we have that any bad vertex $v \in Y$ would have $w(v) \geqslant l(v) \geqslant t$ which is not possible. Each time we replace R with R' we have $|R'| \leqslant |R| + t|R|^{t-1}$ and so, calling the final set R^*, we have $|R^*| \leqslant c_1(t)$ for some function $c_1(t)$.

We now describe how to find a suitable set R' given R. Suppose for some R that the set of bad vertices in Y is non-empty. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \ldots, C_k\}$ be the set of maximal elements (with respect to set inclusion) of

$$\{N_R(y) : y \in Y \text{ is a bad vertex}\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{C}$ is an antichain and each C_i has at most $t-1$ elements. Thus, by Proposition 1, $k \leqslant |R|^{t-1}$.

For each C_i pick some representative $y_i \in Y$ such that $C_i = N_R(y_i)$. As $y_i \in Y$, we have that $d_{\overline{R}}(y_i) < t$. Therefore, as $d(y_i) \geqslant t$, we can pick some

$x_i \in Y$ such that y_i and x_i are adjacent.

Let

$$R' = R \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} (x_i \cup N_{\overline{R}}(x_i)).$$

Clearly $R \subseteq R'$. As each $x_i \in Y$ we have $d_{\overline{R}}(x_i) \leqslant t-1$ and so $|R'| \leqslant |R| + tk \leqslant |R| + t|R|^{t-1}$. It remains to check that $l'(y) \geqslant l(y) + 1/2t$ for all bad $y \in Y' \subseteq Y$.

For a bad vertex $y \in Y' \subseteq Y$ let $C_i \in \mathcal{C}$ be such that $N_R(y) \subseteq C_i$. Recall that every $v \in N_Y(y)$ contributes at least as much to $l'(y)$ as it did to $l(y)$. We have two cases to deal with depending on whether or not y is adjacent to x_i. If y is not adjacent to x_i then there are a few further sub cases to deal with.

If y is adjacent to x_i then $l'(y) > l(y) + \frac{1}{2}$ as x_i now contributes 1 to $l'(y)$ (due to the fact that $x_i \in R'$) while it contributed at most $(t-1)/(2t)$ to $l(y)$.

If y is not adjacent to x_i then there exists some clique $Z \subseteq V$ of order $p-2$ such that adding an edge between y and x_i turns $Z \cup \{x_i, y\}$ into a copy of K_p. Recalling that $N_R(y) \subseteq N_R(y_i)$, we note that $Z \nsubseteq R$ as otherwise $Z \cup \{x_i, y_i\}$ would be an example of a copy of K_p in G. Thus there exists some $z \in Z \setminus R$ such that z is adjacent to x_i and y.

If $z \in \overline{R} \setminus R$ then $z \in R'$ and so $l'(y) \geqslant l(y) + \frac{1}{2}$ as z contributed $\frac{1}{2}$ to $l(y)$ while it contributes 1 to $l'(y)$.

If $z \in Y$ then its contribution to $l(y)$ is $d_R(z)/2t \leqslant (t-1)/2t$ and we have either $z \in \overline{R'}$ or $z \in Y'$. If $z \in \overline{R'}$ then its contribution to $l'(y)$ is at least $\frac{1}{2}$, while if $z \in Y'$ then its contribution is $d_{R'}(z)/(2t) \geqslant (d_R(z)+1)/(2t)$ to $l'(y)$. In either case, $l'(y) \geqslant l(y) + \frac{1}{2t}$.

□

From a more quantitative perspective, the proof of Theorem 1 shows that

$$\mathrm{sat}_t(n,p) \geqslant tn - t(t+1)^{(t^{(2t^2)})}.$$

Indeed, take an initial set R to be any $t+1$ vertices in V and repeatedly replace R with R' until Y has no bad vertices, as described above. Note that $|R| \geqslant t+1$ implies that $|R| + t|R|^{t-1} \leqslant |R|^t$ and so for each replacement of R with R' we have $|R'| \leqslant |R|^t$. Thus after at most $2t^2$ replacements we are left with a set R^* with $|R^*| \leqslant (t+1)^{(t^{(2t^2)})}$ that leads to Y having no bad vertices.

It is possible to improve this lower bound on $\mathrm{sat}_t(n,p)$ by choosing a more careful initial set R and performing more attentive analysis. However

the improvements are minimal with respect to the tower of exponentials in t. We do not believe that this lower bound for $\mathrm{sat}_t(n,p)$ is close to the true value of $\mathrm{sat}_t(n,p)$ and in Section 3 we give a conjecture giving what we believe to be its asymptotic behaviour.

Proof of Corollary 1. Let $n_1(t,p) = \max\{c(t+1), 2t-(p-3)\}$ where $c(t)$ is as given in the statement of Theorem 1. For $t \geqslant p-2$, the graph obtained from fully connecting a clique of size $p-3$ to the complete bipartite graph $K_{t-(p-3),n-t}$ shows that $\mathrm{sat}_t(n,p) < tn$. Theorem 1 shows that if $n \geqslant c(t+1)$ then $\mathrm{sat}_{t+1}(n,p) \geqslant tn$. Thus for $n \geqslant n_1(t,p)$ all graphs $G \in \mathrm{Sat}_t(n,p)$ have $\delta(G) = t$ and so $\mathrm{sat}_t(n,p) = \mathrm{sat}'_t(n,p)$. Duplicating a vertex of degree t in such a graph gives a K_p-saturated graphs on $n+1$ vertices with minimum degree t and $sat_t(n,p)+t$ edges. Thus for $n \geqslant n_1(t,p)$ the sequence $tn - sat_t(n,p)$ is increasing in n but bounded above by $c(t)$ and so is eventually constant. Therefore, for some $n_0(t,p)$, we may define $c(t,p)$ to be the constant such that $\mathrm{sat}_t(n,p) = tn - c(t,p)$ for $n \geqslant n_0(t,p)$.

□

3 Constructing K_p-saturated graphs

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\{X_1, \ldots, X_r\} = \{X \subseteq [t] : 1 \in X, |X| = \lfloor t/2 \rfloor\}$ and for each i let $Y_i = \{k \in [t] : k \notin X_i\}$. We construct a graph G on n vertices as follows: G has vertex set V comprising of vertex classes $H, V_1, \ldots, V_r, W_1, \ldots, W_r, C$ where

- $H = \{h_1, \ldots, h_t\}$,
- each V_i has $\lfloor t/2 \rfloor$ vertices,
- each W_i has $\lceil t/2 \rceil$ vertices,
- C has the remaining $n - t - \lfloor t/2 \rfloor \binom{t}{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor}$ vertices.

The edges of G are as follows:

- C is fully connected to H,
- each V_i is fully connected to the set $\{h_k : k \in X_i\}$,
- each W_i is fully connected to the set $\{h_k : k \in Y_i\}$,
- each V_i is fully connected to W_i.

We claim that this graph has minimum degree t and is K_3-saturated. First we check that $\delta(G) = t$. Each vertex $c \in C$, $v \in V_i$ and $w \in W_i$ has degree t. As $t \geqslant 4$, each vertex h_k (other than h_1) is fully connected to at

least one V_i and at least one W_i and so has degree at least t. We also have that h_1 meets at least 3 of the V_i and so has degree at least $3\lfloor t/2 \rfloor > t$. Therefore $\delta(G) = t$.

Suppose there is a triangle in G. No vertex class C, H, V_i or W_i contains an edge and so all vertices of the triangle must lie in different vertex classes. This means that at least one vertex of the triangle is in some V_i or some W_i. Each V_i only sends edges to H and W_i, while each W_i only sends edges to H and V_i. Thus, for some i and some k, one vertex of the triangle is in V_i, another vertex of the triangle is in W_i and the final vertex is h_k. However, as $X_i \cap Y_i = \varnothing$, no h_k is adjacent to both V_i and W_i. Thus no such triangle can exist.

Finally we need to check that adding any new edge to G creates a triangle. We first check the case of adding an edge inside any vertex class. C is fully connected to H and so adding any edge to C creates a triangle. As $t \geqslant 4$, we have that for each pair $k, l \in [t]$, there is some Y_i such that $k, l \in Y_i$ unless k or l is 1, in which case there is some X_i such that $k, l \in X_i$. Thus adding an edge in H from h_k to h_l creates a triangle with either a vertex in some V_i or a vertex in some W_i. For each i, adding an edge in V_i or W_i creates a triangle with some vertex in H.

Now we check that adding an edge between vertex classes create a triangle. C is fully connected to H so we cannot add any edges between these two classes. Adding an edge between C and some V_i or W_i creates a triangle with some vertex in H. If we add an edge between some h_k and some vertex in V_i then we must have that $k \notin X_i$ and so $k \in Y_i$. This means we create a triangle with any vertex in W_i. By the same reasoning, adding any edge between H and any W_i creates a triangle with any vertex in X_i. As each V_i is fully connected to W_i, we cannot add any edges between them. For each pair $i, j \in [r]$ with $i \neq j$ we have that $X_i \cap X_j \neq \varnothing$, $X_i \cap Y_j \neq \varnothing$ and $Y_i \cap Y_j \neq \varnothing$. Thus, for example, adding an edge from V_i to V_j creates a triangle with h_k for some $k \in X_i \cap X_j$. Similarly adding an edge between V_i and W_j for $i \neq j$ or adding an edge between W_i and W_j creates a triangle with some vertex in H. Therefore G is K_3-saturated.

To count the edges of G we note that each vertex of C sends t edges to H and for each $i \in [r]$ the pair V_i and W_i sends $\lfloor t/2 \rfloor^2 + \lceil t/2 \rceil^2 = \lceil t^2/2 \rceil$ edges to H. Moreover, each pair V_i and W_i has $\lfloor t/2 \rfloor \lceil t/2 \rceil = \lfloor t^2/4 \rfloor$ edges between them. Thus, recalling that $|C| = n - t - \lfloor t/2 \rfloor \binom{t}{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor}$ and $r = \binom{t-1}{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor - 1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &= t|C| + r(\lceil t^2/2 \rceil + \lfloor t^2/4 \rfloor) \\ &= \begin{cases} tn - t^2(1 + \binom{t}{t/2}/8) & \text{for t even} \\ tn - t^2 - \frac{(t-1)(t^2-1)}{8t}\binom{t}{(t-1)/2} & \text{for t odd} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

as required.

□

Recall that we defined $c(t,p)$ to be the constant such that $\text{sat}_t(n,p) = tn - c(t,p)$ for n sufficiently large. Theorem 2 shows that $c(t,3) \geqslant C2^t t^{3/2}$ for some constant C. We conjecture that this upper bound is asymptotically best, that is,

Conjecture 1. $c(t,3) = O(2^t t^{3/2})$.

We can use the construction in Theorem 2 to construct K_p-saturated graphs with minimum degree t. For a graph G, let G^* be the graph obtained by adding a new vertex to G and fully connecting it to all other vertices of G. If G is a K_p-saturated graph with minimum degree at least t, then G^* is a $K_{p+1}-$saturated graph with minimum degree at least $t+1$. This shows that $c(t+1,p+1) \geqslant c(t,p)+t+1$. As Theorem 2 shows that $c(t,3) \geqslant C2^t t^{3/2}$ for some constant C, we have that $c(t,p) \geqslant C2^{t'} t'^{3/2} + \binom{t+1}{2} - \binom{t'+1}{2}$ where $t' = t-(p-3)$.

We can also consider the above construction from the other direction. Suppose G is a K_p-saturated graph with minimum degree at least t. If G has a *conical vertex*, a vertex connected to all other vertices, then removing this vertex from G leaves a $K_{p-1}-$saturated graph with minimum degree at least $t-1$. Hajnal [9] showed that if G is a K_p-saturated graph without a conical vertex then $\delta(G) \geqslant 2(p-2)$. Corollary 1 shows that for any t and p and for n sufficiently large, if $G \in \text{Sat}_t(n,p)$ then $\delta(G) = t$. Thus, if $t < 2(p-2)$, these graphs must have a conical vertex and so are of the form G^* where $G \in \text{Sat}_{t-1}(n-1,p-1)$. This leads us to the question:

Question 1. *For which values of n, t and p do we have that all graphs in $Sat_t(n,p)$ are of the form G^* for some $G \in Sat_{t-1}(n-1,p-1)$?*

Recall that the two properties that make a graph H-saturated are that the graph is H-free and that adding a new edge to the graph creates a copy of H. Suppose we are interested in graphs that only have the second property of H-saturation, that is, adding a new edge to the graph creates a new copy of H. We call such a graph H-semi-saturated and define

$$\text{s-sat}_t(n,p) \quad = \quad \min\{e(G) : |V(G)| = n, G \text{ is } K_p\text{-semi-saturated}, \delta(G) \geqslant t\}.$$

It turns out that constructing semi-saturated graphs with few edges is much easier than constructing saturated graphs. Any graph that is K_p-saturated is also K_p-semi-saturated and so $\text{s-sat}_t(n,p) \leqslant \text{sat}_t(n,p)$. Erdős, Hajnal and Moon's proof [4] that $\text{sat}_{p-2}(n,p) = n(p-2) - \binom{p-1}{2}$ began by first showing that $\text{s-sat}_{p-2}(n,p) = n(p-2) - \binom{p-1}{2}$ and so we have $\text{s-sat}_{p-2}(n,p) = \text{sat}_{p-2}(n,p)$. Naturally one may ask if $\text{s-sat}_t(n,p) = \text{sat}_t(n,p)$ for all t, n and p, however it turns out that for $t > p-2$ this is not the case. Theorem 3 shows that $\text{s-sat}_t(n,p) = \frac{t+p-2}{2}n - O(1)$ for fixed t and p:

Theorem 3. *For $n \geqslant 4t$ and $t \geqslant p-2$, we have*

$$\frac{t''(n-t-1)}{2} + t - \binom{p-2}{2} \leqslant s\text{-}sat_t(n,p) \leqslant \left\lceil \frac{t''(n-(p-2))}{2} \right\rceil + \binom{p-2}{2}$$

where $t'' = t + p - 2$.

Proof of Theorem 3. For each pair of positive integers m, s with $m > s$, let $F(m,s)$ be a graph on m vertices with $\lceil \frac{ms}{2} \rceil$ edges and minimum degree s; it is easy to check that such graphs exist. The graph formed by taking a clique of size $p-2$ and fully connecting it to the graph $F(n-(p-2), t-(p-2))$ is a K_p-semi-saturated graph on n vertices with minimum degree t, and thus proves the upper bound. Duffus and Hanson [3] proved that if G is a K_p-saturated graph on n vertices then

$$e(G) \geqslant \frac{(n-\delta-1)(\delta+p-2)}{2} + \delta - \binom{p-2}{2}, \tag{1}$$

where $\delta = \delta(G)$. However their proof in fact holds true for K_p-semi-saturated graphs, and so we use their result to prove ours. Let G be a K_p-semi-saturated graph on n vertices with $\delta(G) = t + c$ and s-sat$_t(n,p)$ edges, where c is a non-negative integer. Our upper bound shows s-sat$_t(n,p) < \frac{n(t+p-2)}{2}$ and so $c < p-2$. Thus, as $n \geqslant 4t > c + 2t + p - 3$, we have that (1) is minimised with respect to c when $c = 0$. Substituting t for δ in (1) gives the required result.

□

4 Saturated Hypergraphs

We now turn our attention to r-uniform hypergraphs, which we also refer to as r-graphs. For a set S of distinct vertices of an r-graph G, we define its degree, $d(S)$, to be the number of edges of G that contain S. We define the minimum s-degree of G to be

$$\delta_s(G) = \min\{d(S) : S \subseteq V(G), |S| = s\}.$$

We say G is K_p^r-saturated if it contains no copy of K_p^r as a subgraph, but the addition of any new edge to G creates one. Bollobás [2] proved that any K_p^r-saturated r-graph has at least $\binom{n}{r} - \binom{n-p+r}{r}$ edges. Moreover, he proved that the unique r-graph achieving equality is formed by picking a set of $p-r$ vertices and having the edges of G consist of all edges that contain at least one of these $p-r$ points. These extremal r-graphs all have $\delta_{r-1}(G) = p-r$, and so it is natural to ask, how few edges can a K_p^r-saturated r-graph on n vertices with $\delta_r(G) \geqslant t$ have for $t \geqslant p-r$? We make the following conjecture on the behaviour of such r-graphs:

Conjecture 2. *Let t, p and r be integers with $t \geqslant p - r \geqslant 1$. If G is a K_p^r-saturated r-graph on n vertices with $\delta_{r-1}(G) \geqslant t$ then*

$$e(G) \geqslant \frac{tn^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} + O(n^{r-2}).$$

When $r = 2$ the conjecture is given by Theorem 1. The following theorem shows that if Conjecture 2 is true then it is the asymptotically best result that one could hope for:

Theorem 4. *Let t, p and r be integers with $t \geqslant p - r \geqslant 1$. For all $n \geqslant rt - (r-1)(p-(r+1))$, there exists a K_p^r-saturated r-graph G on n vertices with $\delta_{r-1}(G) = t$ and*

$$e(G) = \frac{tn^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} + O(n^{r-2}).$$

We remark that looking at minimum $(r-1)$-degrees of r-graphs seems to be the natural choice to work with, due to the fact that the optimal K_{r+1}^r-saturated r-graphs have $\delta_{r-1}(G) = 1$. However, one could just as easily consider minimum s-degrees for any value of s.

The following construction is based on a construction of Sidorenko [14] which is used to prove lower bounds in the hypergraph Turán problem. Our dialogue follows that of Section 9 from Keevash's survery [10] on hypergraph Turán problems.

Proof of Theorem 4. We begin by dealing with the case $p = r + 1$ and will use this as the starting point for all larger values of p. We construct an r-graph G with vertex set V, where $|V| = n$. We divide V into vertex classes $A_1, \ldots, A_r$ where $|A_1| = n - t(r-1)$ and $|A_i| = t$ for $i \geqslant 2$. The edges of G are the subsets $B \subseteq V$ of size r that do *not* have the following property: there exists j such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} |B \cap A_{j+i}| \geqslant s + 1 \tag{2}$$

for $s = 1, \ldots, r-1$. (We set $A_i = A_{i-r}$ if $i > r$ and $A_i = A_{i+r}$ if $i < 1$). We claim that this graph is K_r^{r+1}-free and has $\delta_{r-1}(G) = t$. To help illustrate why both of these are true, we describe the lorry driver puzzle:

Suppose there is a circular road with r cities on it and $r + 1$ units of fuel distributed between the cities in integer amounts. A lorry driver travels clockwise around the road, collecting fuel at each city they visit but using $\frac{r+1}{r}$ units of fuel to travel from one city to the next. Show that it is always possible for the lorry driver to start at one of the cities with an empty tank and make a complete journey around the road, before returning to their starting point.

The solution to the puzzle is as follows; suppose instead a new lorry driver starts at any city with enough units of fuel to make a complete circuit. If we monitor their fuel levels as they travel, the point at which their fuel levels are lowest is where the original lorry driver should start their journey. Their fuel levels will never drop below 0, and so they can make a complete circuit.

In the context of our hypergraph G, we look at the vertex classes A_i as the cities arranged clockwise in the order $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_r$. Certain vertices will represent units of fuel. For example, as $\left\lceil s\frac{r+1}{r} \right\rceil = s+1$ for $s \leqslant r-1$, property (2) can now be read as: B is not an edge of G if there exists j such that if one unit of fuel is placed at each $v \in B$, then the lorry driver can complete a successful journey that starts at A_j and finishes at A_{j-1}.

It is now easy to see that our first claim, that G is K_r^{r+1}-free, is true. Indeed, if R is a set of $r+1$ vertices of G and a unit of fuel is placed at each $v \in R$ then, by the solution to the lorry driver puzzle, we know there is some j such that the lorry driver can start at A_j and make a complete journey around the road, returning back to A_j. As $r \geqslant (r-1)\frac{r+1}{r}$, the lorry driver can advance distance $r-1$ with the first r units of fuel they collect. Therefore the first r vertices of R that the lorry driver meets have property (2) and so are not an edge in G. Thus no such R forms a K_r^{r+1} and so G is K_r^{r+1}-free.

To prove that $\delta_{r-1}(G) = t$ we will need the following lemma:

Lemma 1. *If R is a set of $r-1$ vertices of G, there is some i such that $R \cap A_i = \varnothing$ and $R \cup a$ is an edge of G for all $a \in A_i$.*

The proof of Lemma 1 can be found at the end of this section. Lemma 1 shows that $\delta_{r-1}(G) \geqslant t$. On the other hand, if R is a set of $r-1$ vertices in A_1, the only edges that contain R are precisely those of the form $\{R, v\}$ where v is any vertex in A_r. Therefore $\delta_{r-1}(G) \leqslant t$ and so in fact $\delta_{r-1}(G) = t$.

While our graph G is K_r^{r+1}-free, it may not be K_r^{r+1}-saturated. We form a new graph G' by adding as many edges to G as we can that do not result in a K_r^{r+1} being formed. G' will be a K_r^{r+1}-saturated r-graph with $\delta_{r-1}(G') \geqslant \delta_{r-1}(G) = t$. Note that in the process of adding edges to G to form G', no edge of the form $\{R, v\}$, where $R \subseteq A_1$ and $v \in V \setminus (A_r \cup R)$, can be added to G. Indeed, for any such R and v, if the edge $\{R, v\}$ is added to G then the set $\{R, v, w\}$ forms a K_r^{r+1}, where w is any vertex in A_r. Thus $\delta_{r-1}(G') = t$ and all but $O(n^{r-2})$ of the edges in G' have $r-1$ vertices in A_1 and a single vertex in A_r. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} e(G') &= t\binom{n-t(r-1)}{r-1} + O(n^{r-2}) \\ &= \frac{tn^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} + O(n^{r-2}). \end{aligned}$$

To construct a K_p^r-saturated r-graph with $\delta_{r-1}(G) = t$ for any $p \geqslant r+1$, we begin by constructing a K_{r+1}^r-saturated r-graph G on $n-p+r+1$ vertices with $\delta_{r-1}(G) = t-p+r+1$ as described above. Then add $p-(r+1)$ new vertices to G as well as every edge that contains at least one of these new vertices. This gives an r-graph G^* that is K_p^r-saturated with $\delta_{r-1}(G^*) = t$ and still has

$$e(G^*) = \frac{tn^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} + O(n^{r-2}).$$

□

Proof of Lemma 1. In the context of the lorry driver puzzle, our aim is to show that for any set R of $r-1$ vertices, there exists some i such that $A_i \cap R = \varnothing$ and if we place a unit of fuel at each vertex of R and one more at A_i, then there is no j such that a journey can be made from A_j to A_{j-1}. Suppose that for some set R the lemma does not hold. That means for every i such that $R \cap A_i = \varnothing$, there exists some j such that if we place a unit of fuel at every vertex $v \in R$ and then one additional unit of fuel at A_i, the lorry driver can travel from A_j to A_{j-1}. Thus, for each i such that $R \cap A_i = \varnothing$, we may define $j(i)$ to be such that $A_{j(i)}$ is the furthest city from A_i (in the clockwise direction) that such a journey could be made. Note that in order for the lorry driver to complete such a journey starting from $A_{j(i)}$, we must have that $R \cap A_{j(i)-1} = \varnothing$ and $i \neq j(i)-1$. Moreover, the cities $A_{j(i)}, A_{j(i)+1}, \ldots, A_i$ contain at least $|j(i), j(i)+1, \ldots, i|$ of the units of fuel of R between them as the lorry driver can certainly make it to A_i starting from $A_{j(i)}$ using just the fuel collected from R along the way.

We define a sequence $(i_m, j_m)_{m \geqslant 0}$ such that $R \cap A_{i_m} = \varnothing$ and $j_m = j(i_m)$ as follows: Let (i_0, j_0) be such that the distance travelled from A_{j_0} to A_{i_0} is maximised among all pairs $A_{j(i)}$ and A_i with $R \cap A_i = \varnothing$. For $m \geqslant 0$, set $i_{m+1} = j_m - 1$ and $j_{m+1} = j(i_{m+1})$.

Let l be the smallest integer such that when travelling from $A_{i_{l+1}}$ to A_{i_l-1}, the lorry driver passes over i_0. In other words, l is the smallest integer such that $i_0 \in \{i_{l+1}, i_{l+1}+1, \ldots, i_l - 1\}$. We claim that $i_{l+1} = i_0$.

Suppose that $i_{l+1} \neq i_0$. If so, then when travelling from A_{j_l} to A_{i_l} the lorry driver starts at or passes over A_{i_0}. As the lorry driver can travel from A_{j_l} to $A_{j_l-1} = A_{i_{l+1}}$ using the fuel collected from R and one additional unit of fuel at A_{i_l}, they could certainly complete the same journey if the additional unit of fuel was placed at A_{i_0} instead of A_{i_l}. Therefore, by the definition of $j_0 = j(i_0)$, we must have that A_{j_l} is no further from A_{i_0} than A_{j_0} is, i.e., $j_0 \in \{j_l, j_l+1, \ldots, i_0 - 1\}$. However, this contradicts our choice of i_0 and j_0 as the distance travelled from A_{j_l} to A_{i_l} is strictly greater than the distance travelled from A_{j_0} to A_{i_0}. Therefore we did have $i_{l+1} = i_0$.

For each $m \in \{0, 1, \ldots, l\}$, let $\mathcal{P}_m = \{A_{j_m}, A_{j_m+1}, \ldots, A_{i_m}\}$. As $i_{l+1} = i_0$, we have that the sets $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_l$ partition the circle of cities into

disjoint intervals. We also have that each $\mathcal{P}_m$ contains at least $|\mathcal{P}_m|$ units of fuel of R in it. However, summing this over $m = 0, 1, \ldots, l$ tells us that $|R| \geqslant r$, which contradicts the fact that $|R| = r - 1$. Thus the lemma holds for all sets R of $r - 1$ vertices of G.

□

5 Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Robert Johnson for his valuable advice and for always pointing me in the right direction. This research was funded by an EPSRC doctoral studentship.

References

[1] N. Alon, P. Erdős, R. Holzman, M. Krivelevich. (1996) On k-saturated graphs with restrictions on the degrees. *J. Graph Theory, 23(1)*, $1 - 20$.

[2] B. Bollobás. (1965) On generalized graphs. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungary, 16*, $447 - 452$.

[3] D. A. Duffus, D. Hanson. (1986) Minimal k-saturated and color critical graphs of prescribed minimum degree. *J. Graph Theory, 10(1)*, $55 - 67$.

[4] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal, J. W. Moon. (1964) A Problem In Graph Theory. *Amer. Math. Monthly, 71*, $1107 - 1110$.

[5] P. Erdős, R. Holzman. (1994) On maximal triangle-free graphs. *J. Graph Theory 18(6)* $585 - 594$.

[6] J. Faudree, R. Faudree, J. Schmitt. (2011) A survey of minimum saturated graphs and hypergraphs. *Electronic J. Combinatorics 18 DS19.*

[7] Z. Füredi, Á. Seress. (1994) Maximal triangle-free graphs with restrictions on the degrees. *J. Graph Theory, 18(1)*, $11 - 24$.

[8] R. L. Graham, M. Grőtschel, L. Lovász, editors. (1995) *Handbook of combinatorics. Vol. 2. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam*, 1271.

[9] A. Hajnal.(1965) A theorem on k-saturated graphs. *Canad. J. Math. 17*, $720 - 724$.

[10] P. Keevash. (2011) Hypergraph Turán problems. *Surveys in Combinatorics 2011*, $83 - 140$.

[11] D. Lubell. (1966) A short proof of Sperner's theorem *J. Combin. Theory, 1*, 299

[12] L.D. Meshalkin. (1963) A generalization of Sperner's theorem on the number of subsets of a finite set *Theor. Probability Appl., 8*, 203 – 204.

[13] O. Pikhurko. (2004) Results and Open Problems on Minimum Saturated Graphs. *Ars Combinatoria, 72*, 111 – 127.

[14] A. Sidorenko. (1981) Systems of sets that have the T-property. *Moscow University Mathematics Bulletin, 36*, 22 – 26.

[15] E. Sperner. (1928) Ein Satz über Untermenger einer endlichen Menge. *Mathematische Zeitschrift, 27*, 544 – 548.

[16] K. Yamamoto. (1954), Logarithmic order of free distributive lattices. *J. Math. Soc. Japan, 6*, 343 – 353.